REVIEW

How Patient Perceptions Shape Responses and Outcomes in Inherited Cardiac **Conditions**



Claire O'Donovan, MSc^a, Jodie Ingles, PhD^{b,c,d}, Elizabeth Broadbent, PhD^a, Jonathan R. Skinner, MD, FHRS^{e,f,*}, Nadine A. Kasparian, PhD^{g,h,i}

^aDepartment of Psychological Medicine, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

^bAgnes Ginges Centre for Molecular Cardiology at Centenary Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

- ^cFaculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia
- ^dDepartment of Cardiology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, NSW, Australia

^eGreen Lane Paediatric and Congenital Cardiac Services, Starship Children's Hospital, Auckland, New Zealand

^fDepartment of Paediatrics Child and Youth Health, The University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

^gDiscipline of Paediatrics, School of Women's and Children's Health, UNSW Medicine, The University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

^hDepartment of Cardiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

ⁱHarvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Received 4 August 2019; received in revised form 4 November 2019; accepted 7 November 2019; online published-ahead-of-print 18 December 2019

	At least one-third of adults living with an inherited cardiac condition report clinically-significant levels of
	psychological distress. Poorer health-related quality of life compared with population norms is also consis-
	tently reported. These outcomes are associated with younger patient age, having an implantable cardioverter
	defibrillator, and receipt of uncertain clinical test results, and can influence self-management behaviours, such
	as adherence to potentially critical life-preserving medications. According to the Common Sense Model of
	Illness, people use information from multiple sources to 'make sense' of their health condition, and how they
	conceptualise the condition can strongly influence adaptation and coping responses. Previous studies with
	people with inherited cardiac conditions show that illness perceptions, such as greater perceived conse-
	quences and a poorer understanding of the condition, are associated with greater psychological distress and
	poorer adherence to medication. The Common Sense Model provides one potential framework for identifying
	patients who may be more vulnerable to adverse health outcomes, and for developing early interventions to
	reduce the physical and psychosocial burden of these conditions. Interventions based on the Common Sense
	Model have successfully improved physical and psychosocial outcomes associated with other cardiac con-
	ditions, and could be tailored for use with patients with an inherited cardiac condition (ICC).
Keywords	Inherited cardiac conditions • Anxiety • Depression • Health-related quality of life • Illness perceptions

Common Sense Model of Illness

Introduction

In many countries, including Australia and New Zealand [1], there has been a concerted effort over the past 20 years to detect and subsequently manage patients with inherited cardiac conditions, such as hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM), Long QT Syndrome (LQTS) and arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy (ARVC). While attention has focussed on reducing patient morbidity and mortality [2], few studies have investigated the psychological and

^{*}Corresponding author at: Green Lane Paediatric and Congenital Cardiac Services, Starship Children's Hospital, Private Bag 92024, Auckland 1142, New Zealand. Tel.: +64 9 3074949; fax: +64 9 6310785; Email: jskinner@adhb.govt.nz

^{© 2019} Australian and New Zealand Society of Cardiac and Thoracic Surgeons (ANZSCTS) and the Cardiac Society of Australia and New Zealand (CSANZ). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

social implications of living with these conditions. Here we death, many of v explore the ways in which adults with an inherited cardiac chological distres

explore the ways in which adults with an inherited cardiac condition 'make sense' of their condition, and how this may influence physical and psychosocial outcomes. We discuss a framework called the Common Sense Model of Illness, which could be used to inform the development of interventions to reduce the psychosocial burden associated with inherited cardiac conditions.

According to the Common Sense Model of Illness [3], patients' perceptions of their illness, (i.e. how they 'make sense' of their health condition), can influence coping behaviours, such as avoidance or engagement with clinical management. This, in turn, is associated with illness outcomes, including psychological well-being, health-related quality of life, and key disease markers, such as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol control in patients with hypercholesterolaemia [4]. We propose this framework as one way for clinicians and researchers to explore patients' perceptions and experiences of their condition, and as a potential model for better understanding how we can influence longer-term health and well-being after diagnosis of an inherited cardiac condition. We will focus on evidence relating to adult patients only, given the known and complex influence of developmental stage and parental illness perceptions on the ways in which children conceptualise illness [5,6]. There is evidence that children with an inherited cardiac condition (ICC) report poorer health-related quality of life than healthy peers [7], and the emotional adjustment of children with congenital heart disease has been found to be influenced by maternal perceptions of the illness [8,9]. Thus, an in-depth review of illness perceptions in paediatric populations with an inherited cardiac condition would be a valuable addition to the literature.

Psychological Distress During Initial Investigations for Inherited Cardiac Conditions

During initial clinical and genetic investigations, many people will experience acute distress [10]. The intensity and duration of this distress can vary, at least in part, due to the circumstances that led to the cardiac investigation [10,11]. For example, patients referred following an incidental finding during a routine medical exam may experience lower distress than those referred after the sudden death of an immediate family member [12]. Irrespective of the reason for referral, however, it is not uncommon for individuals attending a genetic cardiac clinic to experience confusion, worry, fear, frustration and grief.

Generally, when family members are asymptomatic and are found not to carry the family at-risk gene, this acute distress response dissipates relatively quickly after receipt of test results and few long-term psychological consequences have been reported in the literature [10,13]. This may not be the case for asymptomatic non-carriers who have lost an immediate family member to sudden cardiac death, many of whom experience ongoing grief and psychological distress [14]. Outcome data for asymptomatic gene positive relatives typically indicate relatively low levels of psychological distress; however, comparisons between studies is difficult due to variation in cardiac populations, and in the timing and methods by which psychological outcomes are measured. Asymptomatic relatives at-risk of HCM report elevated worry and diseaserelated distress during follow-up appointments [15], and disease-related distress has been found to remain high for over 12 months when clinical test results are uncertain [10]. This distress is understandable given the possibility they may develop the condition in the future, and will be reminded of this by the need for continued clinical follow-up.

Anxiety, Depression and Health-Related Quality of Life in People Living With an Inherited Cardiac Condition

For symptomatic adults living with an inherited cardiac condition, various psychosocial outcomes have been reported (Table 1). Overall, more than one-third of patients report levels of psychological distress indicative of a need for clinical intervention, and a sizeable proportion report reduced health-related quality of life compared with population norms [16–20]. Table 2 outlines a range of individual and environmental factors that have been shown to be associated with psychological distress in adults with an inherited cardiac condition. For instance, studies suggest in LQTS, males appear to be more vulnerable to depression [21], and, in HCM, females appear to be at greater risk of anxiety [22].

Despite the high levels of psychological distress reported by a sizeable subset of adults with an inherited cardiac condition, many also adjust and cope well with the ongoing symptoms and self-management demands (Table 3) [15]. One Australian study of HCM patients found those who were satisfied with their understanding of their condition tended to report better adjustment and less health-related worry [15]. Other protective factors identified in general illness literature include greater perceived social support, optimism, problem-focussed coping, and positive general self-efficacy (e.g. one's belief in their ability to complete a task) [23].

How Psychosocial Factors May Influence Disease-Related Outcomes

Across diverse health conditions, psychological distress is consistently associated with a wide variety of adverse clinical outcomes, including difficulties with treatment

Reference	Cardiac	Country	Sample	Findings	Measure
Morgan,	Illness HCM	United	N = 115	50% of participants met	HADS
O'Donoghue,	TICIVI	Kingdom	27% had a familial HCM,	criteria for anxiety and 31%	TIADS
McKenna and			33% a family hx	met criteria for depression.	
Schmidt (2008) [16]			sudden death;	37% of participants met	SCI
			\geq 18 years;	criteria for an anxiety	
			Mean age 43 years	disorder, 21% met criteria	
			(SD = 10);	for a mood disorder.	
			Mean time since diagnosis		
			6 years (range 1-10)		
Hintsa, Järvinen,	LQTS	Finland	N = 1267;	Symptomatic participants	BDI (revised)
Puttonen,			595 (47%) were carriers of a	were 1.4 times more likely	
Ravaja,			LQTS mutation (of which	to report depressive	
Toivonen, Kontula and Swan			261 were symptomatic and	symptoms than	
(2009) [42]			334 were asymptomatic) and $672 (52\%)$ were family	asymptomatic patients.	
(2009) [42]			and 672 (53%) were family members who were	Depressive symptoms were not related to LQTS	
			non-carriers of a	gene mutation, but were	
			LQTS mutation;	significantly associated	
			16 – 65 years;	with the experience of	
			Mean age 42 years	clinical symptoms (both	
			(SD = 13);	arrhythmic events in the	
			Mean time since entering	LQTS mutation carrier	
			the registry 6 years	group and syncope events	
				in the non-carriers).	
Christiaans, van	HCM	The	N = 258;	Group 1 reported greater	HADS
Langen, Birnie,		Netherlands	Group 1 = mutation	symptoms of depression	
Bonsel, Wilde and			carriers with manifest	compared to groups 2 and	
Smets (2009) [22]			HCM (135, 52%), Group	3 and group 2 reported	
			2 = predictively tested	greater levels of anxiety	
			relatives with manifest	than group 3.	
			HCM detected after DNA	Overall Group 1 reported	SF-36
			testing (34, 13%), Group	poorer physical quality of	
			3 = predictively tested	life compared to groups 2	
			relatives still without	and 3 and group 2 reported	
			manifest HCM	poorer physical quality of life compared to group 3.	
			detected (89, 35%); Mean age 49 years	me compared to group 5.	
			(SD = 15);		
			Age range 16 – 86 years;		
			Mean time since genetic		
			testing 3 years (SD = 1)		
Hamang, Eide,	HCM & LQTS	Norway	N = 126;	From the total sample 25%	HADS
Rokne, Nordin and	~	2	32 (25%) had a clinical	and 14% scored above the	
Øyen (2011) [12]			diagnosis (12 had LQTS	threshold on the HADS for	
			and 20 had HCM) and 94	anxiety and depression	
			(75%) were at genetic risk	respectively. There was no	
			due to a family history (76	difference in anxiety and	
			due to LQTS and 18 HCM;	depression scores between	
				patients with a clinical	

Table 1 Summary of psychological distress and health-related quality of life outcomes in adults with an inherited cardiac condition.

Reference	Cardiac Illness	Country	Sample	Findings	Measure
			Mean age 45 years (SD = 16);	diagnosis and those family members at genetic risk, or between disease types (LQTS compared to HCM). Participants with an HCM diagnosis reported poorer physical functioning, and greater avoidance due to heart-focussed anxiety compared to participants	SF-36
James, Tichnell, Murray, Daly, Sears and Calkins (2012) [43]	ARVC with an ICD	America	N = 86; All participants had a clinical diagnosis of ARVC; ICD indicated for secondary prevention in 46 (54%) participants, primary prevention in 39 (45%); 39 (45%) had not experienced an ICD shock; Mean time since ICD implanted 5 years (SD = 5); Mean age 46 years (SD = 13); Age range 18 – 79 years	with a LQTS diagnosis. 31% of participants met criteria for anxiety and 9% met criteria for depression.	CAQ HADS
Ingles, Yeates, Hunt, McGaughran, Scuffham, Atherton and Semsarian (2013) [17]	LQTS, HCM, FDC, ARVC, CPVT	Australia	N = 409; 251 (62%) were affected individuals with a diagnosis of an inherited cardiac condition (208 had HCM, 43 LQTS, 28 FDC, 17 ARVC and 8 had CPVT) and 158 first-degree at-risk relatives who were clinically unaffected but not previously genotyped; \geq 15 years; Mean age 49 years	Participants with LQTS reported poorer mental functioning than at-risk relatives. Participants with HCM, FDC and CPVT reported poorer physical functioning than at-risk family members.	SF-36
Ingles, Sarina, Kasparian and Semsarian (2013) [44]	HCM, ARVC, FDC, LVNC, LQTS, CPVT, Brugada Syndrome	Australia	(SD = 16) N = 90; Participants had a clinical diagnosis of an inherited cardiac condition and had received an implantable cardioverter defibrillator (>12 months); $\ge 15 \text{ years};$ Mean age 49 years (SD = 14) Mean time since implant was 5 years	38% reported elevated anxiety, 17% reported elevated depressive symptoms.31% reported symptoms indicative of post- traumatic stress response.	HADS IES-R

Table 1 (continue -

Reference	Cardiac Illness	Country	Sample	Findings	Measure
Ingles, Spinks,	Sudden cardiac	Australia	N = 103;	20% reported symptoms of	PGDS
Yeates, McGeechan,	death due to		Participants were family	prolonged grief.	
Kasparian and	HCM, ARVC,		members of a young	44% reported post-	IES-R
Semsarian (2016) [14]	LQTS and		sudden death victim;	traumatic stress symptoms	
	LVNC		10% were clinically	indicative of a need for	
			affected;	clinical intervention.	
			19 deaths were caused by	Family members reported	DASS – 21
			HCM, 16 by ARVC, 9 by	higher depression, anxiety,	
			LQTS and 1 by LVNC;	and stress scores compared	
			Mean age of 44 years	to population norms.	
			(SD = 16)		
			Mean time since death was		
			5 years (range 0.5 – 10		
			years)		
Richardson,	CPVT	Australia	N = 36	26% and 16% of	HADS
Spinks,			53% were people with a	participants reported	
Davis,			clinical diagnosis, 28%	symptoms of anxiety and	
Turner,			parents of an affected child	depression indicative of a	
Atherton,			under 18 years, 19% were	need for clinical	
McGaughran,			at-risk family members;	intervention, respectively.	
Semsarian and Ingles			≥ 18 years;	18% reported symptoms	IES-R
(2018) [45]			Mean age 48 years	indicative of a post-	
			(SD = 16)	traumatic stress response.	
			Mean time since diagnosis		
			was 9 years		

Table 1 (continued)

Abbreviations: HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; CPVT, catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia; LQTS, long QT syndrome; FDC, familial dilated cardiomyopathy; ARVC, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy; LVNC, left ventricular noncompaction; BDI, Beck Depression Inventory; CAQ, Cardiac Anxiety Questionnaire; DASS, Depression Anxiety and Stress Scales; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; IES-R, Impact of Events Scale – Revised; PGDS, Prolonged Grief Disorder Scale; SCI, Structure Clinical Interview; SF-36, Medical Outcomes Study Short Form Health Survey; SD, standard deviation.

decision-making, medication use and cooperation with medical recommendations, suboptimal health service use, and higher morbidity and mortality [24–28]. Increased stress and reduced happiness have been identified as risk factors in the preceding 24 hours of a cardiac event for LQTS patients [29], and lower mental wellbeing predicts non-adherence to medication in HCM patients [30].

Illness-related behaviours, such as medication adherence, are likely to be influenced by numerous and complex factors; however, studies show psychological variables, such as anxiety, low self-efficacy (particularly related to one's perceived ability to take medication as prescribed) and doubts about the necessity of medication, are associated with medication non-adherence in New Zealand and Australian inherited cardiac condition patients [30,31]. Psychological variables, such as anxiety and self-efficacy, represent potentially modifiable targets for interventions to improve patient health and wellbeing.

A Model for Thinking About the Role of Health-Related Beliefs and Perceptions — The Common Sense Model of Illness

Many successful psychosocial interventions have focussed on illness 'representations', defined in the Common Sense Model of Illness [3] (Figure 1), as how patients 'make sense of' their health condition. According to this model, individuals are not passive observers in medical consultations; rather, they are constantly seeking, receiving and processing illness-related information from multiple sources. While information provided by health care teams is one important source, personal experience of symptoms, past illness experiences, information from family and friends, and information from media and social media may also shape the ways in which an individual conceptualises his or her health and illness.

[16]

Risk Factor	Condition	Psychological Distress or Health-Related Quality of Life	Reference
Sociodemographic			
Women	HCM	Lower physical functioning	[17]
	HCM	Greater anxiety symptoms	[22]
Men	LQTS	Greater depressive symptoms	[21]
Younger age	CPVT	Greater anxiety, depression and post-traumatic	[45]
	ARVC with an ICD	stress symptoms	[43]
		Greater device-related distress and body image concerns	
Lower (area level) socioeconomic and education and occupation Indexes	НСМ	Poor psychological wellbeing and health-related quality of life	[30]
No follow-up or follow-up in non-specialist cardiac genetic service	НСМ	Poorer adjustment to living with HCM and greater worry	[15]
Clinical		0 ,	
Resuscitated cardiac arrest	Sudden cardiac arrest patients	Greater general and cardiac-specific anxiety symptoms	[46]
ICD implanted	ICC & non-ICC patients	Greater anxiety, depression and post-traumatic	[44,47]
	(includes ischaemic heart disease)	stress symptoms	
Uncertain clinical diagnosis	LQTS	Greater disease-related anxiety and depression	[10]
Daily medication regimen	LQTS	Lower health-related quality of life	[38]
SCD of young family member	HCM, ARVC, LQTS & LVNC	Greater post-traumatic stress and prolonged grief symptoms	[14]
Psychological			
Greater perceived uncertainty	Coronary artery disease & cardiomyopathy	Poorer health-related quality of life	[48]
Greater perceived risk of sudden death and greater perceived symptom severity	НСМ	Greater chance of being diagnosed with a mood or anxiety disorder	[16]
Greater perceived consequences of carriership	HCM	Greater generalised anxiety and poorer physical quality of life	[22]
Poor ICD device adjustment Social	ARVC with an ICD	Greater generalised anxiety and depression	[43]

 Table 2
 Risk factors for psychological distress and lower health-related quality of life in adults with an inherited cardiac condition.

Abbreviations: HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; ARVC, arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LQTS, long QT syndrome; LVNC, left ventricular noncompaction; ICC, inherited cardiac condition.

HCM

According to the Common Sense Model of Illness, information is processed along two parallel pathways; one pathway takes into account the role of cognitive processing and the other depicts emotional processing (Figure 1). These two pathways are hypothesised to interact to form a person's mental model (or conceptualisation) of illness, which consciously or unconsciously guides health-related actions and coping responses. The model proposes a continuous feedback loop, in which coping responses are appraised in light of effects on illness-related outcomes and this can lead to adjustments in perceptions and coping behaviours over

Poorer social and sexual adjustment

time. This process is also thought to be influenced by an individual's personal and socio-cultural contexts. Within the cognitive pathway, patients' perceptions of illness are said to cluster around six dimensions, including ideas about disease causes, consequences, timeline, controllability, coherence (how well an individual understands their illness), and identity (symptoms and labels) (Table 4 and Figure 2) [32].

Greater chance of being diagnosed with a mood

or anxiety disorder

Within the emotion processing pathway, emotional aspects of illness are taken into account and can strongly influence how patients conceptualise their health condition, and how they cope and adjust, including physical,

Table 3 Tasks patients with an inherited cardiac condition may need to manage.

Regular and correct medication use Lifelong medical surveillance Distress tolerance and stress management skills Informed consent and shared decision-making Symptom management strategies Invasive procedures (e.g. ICD implantation) Identification of symptoms warranting clinical investigation

Lifestyle modification, including potential exercise and activity restrictions

Adjustment to changed social and economic circumstances Communication with physicians, family members (including children or grandchildren, and potential partners), and other caregivers

Grief and bereavement

Survivor guilt

Transmission guilt

SOURCE: Adapted from Marks and Allegrante, 2005 [49]. Abbreviation: ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator.

psychosocial and occupational functioning (Figure 1) [33]. Fear, for example, can motivate some people to be vigilant with self-management behaviours, such as attending recommended medical appointments and adhering to prescribed medications. If fear becomes overwhelming, however, some people may cope by becoming hypervigilant regarding disease signs and symptoms, potentially leading to overuse of medical resources. For others, fear may generate attempts to avoid all reminders of the illness, resulting in non-attendance at recommended appointments or cessation of prescribed medications against medical advice.

Coping strategies, such as avoidance, cognitive reappraisal and emotion venting (defined in Figure 1), can directly influence illness outcomes in diverse ways, depending on the way and context in which they are used [4]. For patients with a condition that affords little personal control, such as Huntington's disease, psychological distress may be reduced by avoiding reminders of the condition. Conversely, in the context of conditions where significant personal control is possible, such as diabetes, avoidance of checking blood sugar levels would likely lead to adverse outcomes. Hagger and colleagues suggest psychological interventions should not only focus on assisting patients to develop healthy illness perceptions, but should also bolster adaptive coping strategies [4]: assisting inherited cardiac condition patients, for example, to understand how beta blocker medication works and how important it is in minimising the possibility of sudden cardiac death, then supporting patients to develop practical strategies to remember to take their medication and adjust to any side effects.

Risk perceptions also influence how patients make sense of their condition and may be particularly important in inherited cardiac conditions because of the sudden and dangerous nature of symptoms. Realising one is at increased risk of sudden cardiac death may elicit fear and distress prompting a need for heightened professional and social support [34]. Importantly, a recent study suggests patients' perceptions of risk for dangerous symptoms often do not align with those of the physician [35], suggesting an area in which psychological and social support could provide benefit.

'Making Sense' of an Inherited Cardiac Condition

According to the Common Sense Model of Illness, information about an illness is often processed in the context of pre-existing knowledge and experiences of other illnesses. Inherited cardiac conditions tend to challenge many widelyheld assumptions about disease; for example, that illnesses have a distinct symptom profile, with a largely predictable timeframe, where risk increases with age, and treatment can cure or manage the illness [36]. In contrast, inherited cardiac conditions, particularly the cardiac ion channelopathies, are typically diagnosed in young and otherwise healthy people, with few if any symptoms, and treatment is about mitigating the risk of cardiac arrest which, for many, is an abstract concept. In addition, beta blockers can leave some patients feeling worse by inducing fatigue and, in some patients (such as males with LQTS type 1), risk may decrease later in life [37]. Without a pre-existing schema to support adaptive illness perceptions, it is unsurprising that many patients report confusion about symptoms, risks and medication side-effects [38]. For people with a positive genotype and no detected phenotype, the terms 'at-risk relative' or 'asymptomatic carrier' may be experienced as new and perplexing. Being informed of the risks associated with carriership in the absence of clinical signs of the condition is not a typical illness model people can readily make sense of. If we consider perceptions of illness identity as central to patients' conceptualisation of their illness it is easy to see that patients with a genetic diagnosis but no clinical signs of disease may struggle to comprehend and adapt to their condition. Research to better understand how patients perceive various aspects of inherited cardiac conditions is much-needed.

Psychological Interventions Targeting Illness Perceptions

Numerous psychological interventions have demonstrated effectiveness in improving illness perceptions in other medical conditions [39–41]. One successful trial randomised myocardial infarction patients along with their spouses to usual care or usual care plus an illness perception



Figure 1 Schematic representation of the Common Sense Model of Illness Representations based on Leventhal et al.'s [54] original illustration. The coping strategies and illness outcomes dimensions are based on work by Hagger and Orbell [51]. Coping strategies include *avoidance*: keeping away from things that act as reminders of the illness; *cognitive reappraisal*: reinterpreting the meaning of something to mitigate the emotional response; *emotion venting*: sharing or expressing feelings with another person; *problem-focussed coping*: actively working towards managing or resolving the source of the stress; seeking *social support*: actively pursuing others who can care for you or assist with the management of stress. Illness outcomes include *disease state*: markers of disease stability or progression, e.g. cholesterol control in hypercholesterolaemia; *physical functioning*: the ability to undertake everyday tasks; *role functioning*: the ability to execute existing positions in one's life (e.g. at work or within the family); *social functioning*: the ability to interact easily with other people or in social situations.

intervention. The intervention consisted of four 30-minute, face-to-face sessions with a psychologist prior to hospital discharge [40]. Illness perceptions (e.g., consequences, treatment control, and coherence) were assessed at baseline (prior to intervention participation) and again at discharge, and 3 and 6 months post-hospitalisation. During sessions, patients were supported to explore their perceptions of myocardial infarction, challenge inaccurate beliefs and

attributions, develop strategies to enhance their sense of selfefficacy in managing their condition, and develop an action plan during recovery. Patients in the intervention group, on average, reported greater engagement in physical activity and exercise, fewer contacts with their general practitioner (primary care physician), lower anxiety about returning to work, and better rates of return to work compared to those who received usual care only.

Illness Perception Dimension	Description	Example	Examples of questions to ask patients to elicit illness perceptions [50]
Identity	Name (or label) assigned to a disease, and the signs and symptoms an individual associates with the condition. Perceived identity is hypothesised to be at the centre of the way an individual conceptualises his or her illness [32], and is not necessarily	Some patients who report many symptoms and attribute these symptoms to their illness, are more likely to deny their illness and may avoid engaging with important self-management behaviours, such as attending medical appointments [51].	We know patients can often find it difficult to work out whether symptoms are due to their heart condition or treatment side effects. Can you tell me about the symptoms you experience that you think might be due to your heart condition?
Cause	based on medical models. Beliefs about what causes the disease (e.g., genetics, stress, pollution, lifestyle choices). Causal attributions can be multifactorial, and may include both individual and/or environmental factors. How a person interprets the cause(s) of his or her condition can strongly	Patients who attributed their myocardial infarction to a lack of exercise were more likely to exercise post-discharge than those who did not attribute their myocardial infarction to a lack of exercise [40].	It's natural to wonder what caused your heart condition. Do you have any thoughts or theories about what has caused your condition?
Timeline	influence coping responses. Beliefs about whether the disease is acute, chronic or cyclical in nature. Timeline beliefs can vary from acute (e.g. a common cold) to chronic (e.g. diabetes) or cyclical (e.g. migraines), and can influence self-management behaviours, such as adherence	Timeline beliefs have been shown to predict whether asthma patients take their medication daily or only when they feel they need to [52].	How long do you think you will have your heart condition for?
Consequences	to medication or medical advice. Beliefs about the consequences the disease has had (or may have) on a person's life. Beliefs may centre on consequences for personal experiences, relationships, financial hardship, mental health, social roles, occupational opportunities, as well as other areas important to the person.	A young adult male with LQTS and an ICD may feel his social functioning has been limited because he is unable to continue playing competitive football with his friends. A meta-analysis (using many different illness groups) found perceived consequences are one of the strongest predictors of depression, anxiety and health- related quality of life [53].	We know having a heart condition can impact many aspects of a person's life. What have been the consequences of this condition for you?
Controllability/ Cure	The cure/control dimension includes perceptions of both personal control (i.e., how much a person believes he or she can manage the illness), and treatment control (i.e., the extent to which medication or other forms of treatment can control the illness).	Patients with an inherited cardiac condition who believed beta blockers did not control their condition well, were less likely to adhere to this medication [31].	We have prescribed you a medication for your heart condition. How effective do you feel this medicine has been in managing your heart condition? How much control do you feel you have personally over your heart condition?

Table 4 Cognitive representations of Illness from the Common Sense Model of Illness.

Illness Perception Dimension	Description	Example	Examples of questions to ask patients to elicit illness perceptions [50]
Coherence	Coherence relates to how well an individual believes they understand their illness.	People who believe they understand their illness well are less likely to experience psychological distress and are more likely to be able to function physically and in their roles at home and work than those who do not believe they have a good understanding of their illness [4].	These conditions are complex and can be tricky to wrap your head around, how well do you feel you understand your heart condition? NB: To check their understanding is accurate, ask them to tell you their understanding of their condition.



Abbreviations: LQTS, long QT syndrome; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator.



Figure 2 Summary of the illness perceptions from the Common Sense Model of Illness. Abbreviation: ICC, inherited cardiac condition.

While, to our knowledge, there have been no such interventions developed for people with an inherited cardiac condition, it seems likely that similar interventions would be beneficial in this population given evidence that inherited cardiac condition patients' illness perceptions are associated with adherence [31], risk perceptions [35], psychological distress and health-related quality of life [22]. Qualitative studies [38] have also identified several targets for future interventions, including personal control (e.g., increasing patients' capacity to tolerate uncertainty and enhance self-efficacy with medication use), treatment control (e.g., increasing patients' perceptions of the necessity and efficacy of medication), consequences (e.g., supporting management of treatment side effects and adjustment to physical and role limitations), and emotional responses (e.g., assisting patients in processing significant or traumatic events and making difficult health-related decisions).

Conclusion

A substantial subset of people living with an inherited cardiac condition report high levels of anxiety and depression, and lower health-related quality of life compared with population norms. Current knowledge suggests patients who are younger, are from lower socio-economic groups, and perceive themselves at greater risk of sudden death may be more vulnerable to difficulties adjusting to their health condition. In addition, those with worse perceptions about the consequences of their inherited cardiac condition report greater psychological distress, and patients with a poorer understanding of their condition and less confidence that their treatment is effective are at higher risk of non-adherence. To support patients who are struggling to adhere to their medication, for example, you could use the Common Sense Model of Illness framework and elicit patient perceptions about treatment efficacy, explore their general understanding of their condition, and their emotional responses to it and this may help to highlight certain beliefs that could be driving the non-adherence (Table 4). Further research is needed to consolidate risk and resiliency factors across different demographic groups and conditions, and to develop interventions to bolster healthy adaptation within this patient population. Research to date suggests that the Common Sense Model of Illness could be a useful framework for such interventions.

Acknowledgements

J. Ingles is the recipient of a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Career Development Fellowship (APP#1162929). N. Kasparian is the recipient of a National Heart Foundation of Australia Future Leader Fellowship (101229), and a 2018–2019 Harkness Fellowship in Health Care Policy and Practice from the Commonwealth Fund.

References

- Earle NJ, Crawford J, Hayes I, Rees MI, French J, Stiles MK, et al. Development of a cardiac inherited disease service and clinical registry: a 15-year perspective. Am Heart J 2019;209:126–30.
- [2] Bagnall RD, Weintraub RG, Ingles J, Duflou J, Yeates L, Lam L, et al. A prospective study of sudden cardiac death among children and young adults. N Engl J Med 2016;374(25):2441–52.
- [3] Leventhal H, Meyer D, Nerenz D. The common sense representation of illness danger. In: Contributions to Medical Psychology. Vol. 2. Oxford: Pergamon Press; 1980. p. 7–30.
- [4] Hagger MS, Koch S, Chatzisarantis NL, Orbell S. The common sense model of self-regulation: meta-analysis and test of a process model. Psychol Bull 2017;143(11):1117.
- [5] Paterson J, Moss-morris R, Butler SJ. The effect of illness experience and demographic factors on children's illness representations. Psychol Health 1999;14(1):117–29.
- [6] Mullins LL, Wolfe-Christensen C, Hoff Pai AL, Carpentier MY, Gillaspy S, Cheek J, et al. The relationship of parental overprotection, perceived child vulnerability, and parenting stress to uncertainty in youth with chronic illness. J Pediatr Psychol 2007;32(8):973–82.
- [7] Czosek RJ, Kaltman JR, Cassedy AE, Shah MJ, Vetter VL, Tanel RE, et al. Quality of life of pediatric patients with long QT syndrome. Am J Cardiol 2016;117(4):605–10.
- [8] DeMaso DR, Campis LK, Wypij D, Bertram S, Lipshitz M, Freed M. The impact of maternal perceptions and medical severity on the adjustment of children with congenital heart disease. J Pediatr Psychol 1991;16(2):137–49.
- [9] Chong LSH, Fitzgerald DA, Craig JC, Manera KE, Hanson CS, Celermajer D, et al. Children's experiences of congenital heart disease: a systematic review of qualitative studies. Eur J Pediatr 2018;177(3):319–36.
- [10] Hendriks K, Hendriks MMWB, Birnie E, Grosfeld FJM, Wilde AAM, van den Bout J, et al. Familial disease with a risk of sudden death: a longitudinal study of the psychological consequences of predictive testing for long QT syndrome. Heart Rhythm 2008;5(5):719–24.
- [11] Hamang A, Eide GE, Rokne B, Nordin K, Bjorvatn C, Øyen N. Predictors of heart-focused anxiety in patients undergoing genetic investigation and counseling of Long QT syndrome or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a one year follow-up. J Genet Couns 2012;21(1):72–84.
- [12] Hamang A, Eide GE, Rokne B, Nordin K, Øyen N. General anxiety, depression, and physical health in relation to symptoms of heart-focused anxiety-a cross sectional study among patients living with the risk of serious arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death. Health Qual Life Outcomes 2011;9(1):100.
- [13] Ingles J, Yeates L, O'Brien L, McGaughran J, Scuffham PA, Atherton J, et al. Genetic testing for inherited heart diseases: longitudinal impact on health-related quality of life. Genet Med 2012;14(8):749–52.
- [14] Ingles J, Spinks C, Yeates L, McGeechan K, Kasparian N, Semsarian C. Posttraumatic stress and prolonged grief after the sudden cardiac death of a young relative. JAMA Intern Med 2016;176(3):402–5.

- [15] Ingles J, Lind JM, Phongsavan P, Semsarian C. Psychosocial impact of specialized cardiac genetic clinics for hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Genet Med 2008;10(2):117–20.
- [16] Morgan JF, O'Donoghue AC, McKenna WJ, Schmidt MM. Psychiatric disorders in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2008;30(1):49–54.
- [17] Ingles J, Yeates L, Hunt L, McGaughran J, Scuffham PA, Atherton J, et al. Health status of cardiac genetic disease patients and their at-risk relatives. Int J Cardiol 2013;165(3):448–53.
- [18] Alonso J, Angermeyer M, Bernert S, Bruffaerts R, Brugha T, Bryson H, et al. Prevalence of mental disorders in Europe: results from the European Study of the Epidemiology of Mental Disorders (ESEMeD) project. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2004;109:21–7.
- [19] Kessler RC, Chiu W, Demler O, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005;62(6):617–27.
- [20] Wells JE, Oakley Browne MA, Scott KM, McGee MA, Baxter J, Kokaua J. Prevalence, interference with life and severity of 12 month DSM-IV disorders in Te Rau Hinengaro: the New Zealand Mental Health Survey. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2006;40(10):845–54.
- [21] Wesołowska K, Elovainio M, Koponen M, Tuiskula AM, Hintsanen M, Keltikangas-Järvinen L, et al. Is symptomatic long QT syndrome associated with depression in women and men? J Genet Couns 2017;26(3):491–500.
- [22] Christiaans I, van Langen IM, Birnie E, Bonsel GJ, Wilde AAM, Smets EMA. Quality of life and psychological distress in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutation carriers: a cross-sectional cohort study. Am J Med Genet A 2009;149A(4):602–12.
- [23] Nekouei ZK, Yousefy A, Doost HTN, Manshaee G, Sadeghei M. Structural Model of psychological risk and protective factors affecting on quality of life in patients with coronary heart disease: a psychocardiology model. J Res Med Sci 2014;19(2):90–8.
- [24] Gehi A, Haas D, Pipkin S, Whooley MA. Depression and medication adherence in outpatients with coronary heart disease: findings from the Heart and Soul Study. Arch Intern Med 2005;165(21):2508–13.
- [25] Moser DK. "The rust of life": impact of anxiety on cardiac patients. Am J Crit Care 2007;16(4):361–9.
- [26] Jiang W, Kuchibhatla M, Cuffe MS, Christopher E, Alexander J, Clary G, et al. Prognostic value of anxiety and depression in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation 2004;110(22):3452–6.
- [27] Dunbar SB, Langberg JJ, Reilly CM, Viswanathan B, McCarty F, Culler SD, et al. Effect of a psychoeducational intervention on depression, anxiety, and health resource use in implantable cardioverter defibrillator patients. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2009;32(10):1259–71.
- [28] Grenard JL, Munjas BA, Adams JL, Suttorp M, Maglione M, McGlynn EA, et al. Depression and medication adherence in the treatment of chronic diseases in the United States: a meta-analysis. J Gen Intern Med 2011;26(10):1175–82.
- [29] Lane RD, Reis HT, Peterson DR, Zareba W, Moss AJ. Happiness and stress alter susceptibility to cardiac events in long QT syndrome. Ann Noninvasive Electrocardiol 2009;14(2):193–200.
- [30] Ingles J, Johnson R, Sarina T, Yeates L, Burns C, Gray B, et al. Social determinants of health in the setting of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Int J Cardiol 2015;184:743–9.
- [31] O'Donovan CE, Waddell-Smith KE, Skinner JR, Broadbent E. Predictors of βblocker adherence in cardiac inherited disease. Open Heart 2018;5(2):e000877.
- [32] Leventhal H, Brissette L, Leventhal E. The common-sense model of self regulation of health and illness. In: Cameron LD, Leventhal H, editors. The self-regulation of health and illness behaviour. London and New York: Psychology Press; 2003.
- [33] Loewenstein GF, Weber EU, Hsee CK, Welch N. Risk as feelings. Psychol Bull 2001;127(2):267–86.
- [34] O'Donovan CE, Skinner JR, Broadbent E. Perceptions of risk of cardiac arrest in individuals living with a cardiac inherited disease: Are the doctor and the patient on the same page? *Heart Lung Circ*. https://doi.org/10.1 016/j.hlc.2019.07.008.
- [35] O'Donovan CE, Skinner JR, Broadbent E. Reference frame and emotions may contribute to discrepancies in patient and clinician risk estimates in Long QT syndrome. Patient Educ Couns 2019;102(12):2296–301.
- [36] Landrine H, Klonoff EA. Culture and health-related schemas: a review and proposal for interdisciplinary integration. Health Psychol 1992;11(4):267.
- [37] Goldenberg I, Moss AJ, Bradley J, Polonsky S, Peterson DR, McNitt S, et al. Long-QT syndrome after age 40. Circulation 2008;117(17):2192–201.
- [38] Andersen J, Øyen N, Bjorvatn C, Gjengedal E. Living with long QT syndrome: a qualitative study of coping with increased risk of sudden cardiac death. J Genet Couns 2008;17(5):489.

- [39] Petrie KJ, Cameron LD, Ellis CJ, Buick D, Weinman J. Changing illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: an early intervention randomized controlled trial. Psychosom Med 2002;64(4):580–6.
- [40] Broadbent E, Ellis CJ, Thomas J, Gamble G, Petrie KJ. Further development of an illness perception intervention for myocardial infarction patients: a randomized controlled trial. J Psychosom Res 2009;67(1): 17–23.
- [41] Jones CJ, Smith HE, Llewellyn CD. A systematic review of the effectiveness of interventions using the Common Sense Self-Regulatory Model to improve adherence behaviours. J Health Psychol 2016;21(11):2709–24.
- [42] Hintsa T, Keltikangas-Järvinen L, Puttonen S, Ravaja N, Toivonen L, Kontula K, et al. Depressive symptoms in the congenital long QT syndrome. Ann Med 2009;41(7):516–21.
- [43] James C, Tichnell C, Murray B, Daly A, Sears S, Calkins H. General and disease-specific psychosocial adjustment in patients with arrhythmogenic right ventricular dysplasia/cardiomyopathy with implantable cardioverter defibrillators: a large cohort study. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2012;5(1):18–24.
- [44] Ingles J, Sarina T, Kasparian N, Semsarian C. Psychological wellbeing and posttraumatic stress associated with implantable cardioverter defibrillator therapy in young adults with genetic heart disease. Int J Cardiol 2013;168(4):3779–84.
- [45] Richardson E, Spinks C, Davis A, Turner C, Atherton J, McGaughran J, et al. Psychosocial implications of living with catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia in adulthood. J Genet Couns 2018;27(3):549–57.

- [46] Rosman L, Whited A, Lampert R, Mosesso VN, Lawless C, Sears SF. Cardiac anxiety after sudden cardiac arrest: severity, predictors and clinical implications. Int J Cardiol 2015;181:73–6.
- [47] Bilge AK, Özben B, Demircan S, Cinar M, Yilmaz E, Adalet K. Depression and anxiety status of patients with implantable cardioverter defibrillator and precipitating factors. Pacing Clin Electrophysiol 2006;29(6):619–26.
- [48] Carroll DL, Hamilton GA, McGovern BA. Changes in health status and quality of life and the impact of uncertainty in patients who survive lifethreatening arrhythmias. Heart Lung 1999;28(4):251–60.
- [49] Marks R, Allegrante JP. A review and synthesis of research evidence for self-efficacy-enhancing interventions for reducing chronic disability: implications for health education practice (part II). Health Promot Pract 2005;6(2):148–56.
- [50] Broadbent E, Petrie KJ, Main J, Weinman J. The brief illness perception questionnaire. J Psychosom Res 2006;60(6):631–7.
- [51] Hagger MS, Orbell S. A meta-analytic review of the common-sense model of illness representations. Psychol Health 2003;18(2):141–84.
- [52] Halm EA, Mora P, Leventhal H. No symptoms, no asthma: the acute episodic disease belief is associated with poor self-management among inner-city adults with persistent asthma. Chest 2006;129(3):573–80.
- [53] Broadbent E, Wilkes C, Koschwanez H, Weinman J, Norton S, Petrie KJ. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire. Psychol Health 2015;30(11):1361–85.
- [54] Leventhal H, Diefenbach M, Leventhal EA. Illness cognition: using common sense to understand treatment adherence and affect cognition interactions. Cognit Ther Res 1992;16(2):143–63.